

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/669,098	09/25/2000	BILLY G MOON	062891.0466 3457	
7:	590 04/04/2005		EXAM	INER
BARTON E SHOWALTER BAKER BOTTS L L P			LY, ANH VU H	
2001 ROSS AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			2667	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/669,098	MOON ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anh-Vu H Ly	2667			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this interpretation of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this interpretation of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this interpretation of the period for reply expires the period for reply expires on: (2) the mailing date of this interpretation. 	dment, affidavit, or other evidence, eal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR ne reply must be filed within one of e of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	which places the application in 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued the following time periods: In the final rejection, whichever is later. In a date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig to than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ns of the date of filing the Notice of			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7-15,17-25,27-34 and 36-39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.			

13. Other: ____.

See Continuation Sheet.

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. NOTE: First of all, applicant submitted amendments to the specifications and to the claims dated March 14, 2005. However, applicant didn't indicate such amendments under the Remarks section. Secondly, the status identifiers of claims 6, 16, and 35 are improper. Furthermore, applicant argues on page 12 that the teachings of Padovani are limited to a single mobile station. Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is known in the art that a base station is included within a cell. Wherein, a cell can be divided into multiple sectors. A base station always communicates instantaneously and concurrently with a plurality of mobile terminals. Padovani discloses only a single mobile terminal in Fig. 2 but that is for illustration purpose only. The same method should be applied to other mobile terminals within the cell. Therefore, the teachings of Padovani are not limited to a single mobile terminal.

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2